arXiv:1205.6083v3 [math.OA] 24 Aug 2012

DERIVATIONS ON IDEALS IN COMMUTATIVE AW^*-ALGEBRAS

V. I. CHILIN AND G. B. LEVITINA

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative AW^*-algebra, let $S(\mathcal{A})$ be the $*$-algebra of all measurable operators affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$, let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, let $s(\mathcal{I})$ be the support of the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and let $\mathbb{Y}$ be a solid subspace in $S(\mathcal{A})$. The necessary and sufficient conditions of existence of non-zero band preserving derivations from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathbb{Y}$ are given. We show that, in case when $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathcal{A}$, or $\mathbb{Y}$ is a quasi-normed solid space, any band preserving derivation from $\mathcal{I}$ into $\mathbb{Y}$ is always trivial. At the same time, there exist non-zero band preserving derivations from $\mathcal{I}$ with values in $S(\mathcal{A})$, if and only if the Boolean algebra of all projections from the AW^*-algebra $s(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{A}$ is not σ-distributive.

Keywords: Boolean algebra, commutative AW^*-algebra, ideal, derivation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A40, 46B40, 46L57

1. Introduction

It is well known ([14], Lemma 4.1.3) that every derivation on a C^*-algebra is norm continuous and, when a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, any derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is trivial. In particular, for a commutative von Neumann algebra and a commutative AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ any derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is identically zero. Development of the theory of algebras $S(\mathcal{A})$ of measurable operators affiliated with a von Neumann algebra or a AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ ([5], [15]) allowed to construct and study the new significant examples of $*$-algebras of unbounded operators. One of interesting problems in this theory is the problem of description of derivations acting in $S(\mathcal{A})$. For a von Neumann algebra and an AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ it is known ([13], ([14], 4.1.6)) that any derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is inner, i.e. it has a form $\delta(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. For the algebra $S(\mathcal{A})$ it is not the same. In case of a commutative von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in [2],[3] it was established that any derivation on $S(\mathcal{A})$ is inner, i.e. trivial, if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is an atomic algebra. For a commutative AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ there are non-zero derivations on $S(\mathcal{A})$, if and only if the Boolean algebra of all projections from $\mathcal{A}$ is not σ-distributive [10]. In case of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of type I, all derivations from $S(\mathcal{A})$ into $S(\mathcal{A})$ are described in [1]. The next step in the study of properties of derivations

---

Date: March 4, 2013.

in operator algebras has become the research of derivations acting on an ideal in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with values in a Banach solid space in $S(\mathcal{A})$ [4]. In particular, in [4] it is proven that any derivation from a commutative von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with values in a Banach solid space $\mathbb{Y} \subset S(\mathcal{A})$ is always trivial.

In this paper we consider derivations acting on an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in a commutative AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (respectively, in the algebra $C(Q)$ of all continuous real-valued functions defined on the Stone space Q of a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$) with values in a solid space $\mathbb{Y} \subset S(\mathcal{A})$ (respectively, in $\mathbb{Y} \subset C_\infty(Q)$).

Denote by $s(x)$ the support of an element $x \in S(\mathcal{A})$ (respectively, $x \in C_\infty(Q)$) (see Section 2) and for any $E \subset S(\mathcal{A})$ (respectively, $E \subset C_\infty(Q)$) define the support of E by the equality $s(E) = \sup\{s(x) : x \in E\}$. The support of the image $\delta(\mathcal{I})$ of a derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is denoted by $s(\delta)$. A derivation δ is called band preserving (compare ([7], 1.1.1), ([10], 2.3)), if $s(\delta(x)) \leqslant s(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$. The inequality $s(\delta) \leqslant s(\mathcal{I})$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for a derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{Y}$ to be band preserving (see Section 3).

In this paper it is proven that there exits a non-zero band preserving derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{Y}$ if and only if there exists a non-zero projection $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the following conditions hold:

1). $e \leqslant s(\mathcal{I})s(\mathbb{Y})$;
2). $e\mathbb{Y} = eS(\mathcal{A})$;
3). The Boolean algebra of projections from $e\mathcal{A}$ is not σ-distributive.

Using this criterion it is established that in case, when $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathcal{A}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{Y} \subset C(Q)$) or when $\mathbb{Y}$ is a quasi-normed solid space, any band preserving derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is always trivial.

At the same time, there exist non-zero band preserving derivations from $\mathcal{I}$ into $S(\mathcal{A})$ (respectively, in $C_\infty(Q)$), if and only if the Boolean algebra of all projections from $s(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{A}$ (respectively, $s(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{B}$) is not σ-distributive (compare [10]). In particular, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra, then any band preserving derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to S(\mathcal{A})$ is trivial, if and only if the algebra $s(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{A}$ is atomic (compare [3]).

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an arbitrary complete Boolean algebra with zero $\mathbf{0}$ and unity $\mathbf{1}$. For an arbitrary non-zero $e \in \mathcal{B}$ set $\mathcal{B}_e = \{q \in \mathcal{B} : q \leqslant e\}$. With respect to the partial order induced from $\mathcal{B}$ the set $\mathcal{B}_e$ is a Boolean algebra with zero $\mathbf{0}$ and unity e.

A set $D \subset \mathcal{B}$ minorizes a subset $E \subset \mathcal{B}$ if for each non-zero $e \in E$ there exists $\mathbf{0} \neq q \in D$ such that $q \leqslant e$. We need the following important property of complete Boolean algebras.

Theorem 2.1 ([11], 1.1.6)**.** *Let* $\mathcal{B}$ *be a complete Boolean algebra,* $\mathbf{0} \neq e \in \mathcal{B}$ *and let* D *minorizes the subset* $\mathcal{B}_e$*. Then there exists a disjoint subset* $D_0 \subset D$ *such that* $\sup D_0 = e$*.*

A non-zero element q from a Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called an atom, if $\mathcal{B}_e = \{\mathbf{0}, q\}$. A Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called atomic if, for every non-zero $e \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists an atom $q \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $q \leqslant e$. Each complete atomic Boolean algebra is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra 2^{Δ} of all subsets of the set Δ of all atoms in $\mathcal{B}$ ([16], ch. III, §2).

A Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be continuous if $\mathcal{B}$ does not have atoms. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a complete Boolean algebra and Δ is non-empty set of all atoms from $\mathcal{B}$, then for $e = \sup \Delta$ we have that $\mathcal{B}_e$ is an atomic Boolean algebra and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{1}-e}$ is a continuous Boolean algebra.

Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all natural numbers and by $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set of all mappings from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{N}$. A σ-complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called *σ-distributive*, if for any double sequence $\{e_{n,m}\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ the following condition holds

$$\bigvee_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigwedge_{m\in\mathbb{N}} e_{n,m} = \bigwedge_{\varphi\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \bigvee_{n\in\mathbb{N}} e_{n,\varphi(n)}.$$

Each complete atomic Boolean algebra is σ-distributive ([10], 3.1). In general, the converse does not hold. Moreover, there exist continuous σ-distributive complete Boolean algebras ([11], 5.1.7). At the same time, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.2 ([7], 5.3.3)**.** *If* $\mathcal{B}$ *is a continuous* σ*-complete Boolean algebra and there exists a finite strictly positive countably additive measure* μ *on* $\mathcal{B}$*, then* $\mathcal{B}$ *is not* σ*-distributive.*

A complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be multinormed, if the set of all finite completely additive measures separates the points of $\mathcal{B}$ ([11], 1.2.9). If a Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is multinormed, then there exists a partition $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of unity $\mathbf{1}$ such that the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_{e_i}$ has a finite strictly positive countably additive measure for all $i \in I$ ([11], 1.2.10). Therefore, from Proposition 2.2 we have the following

Corollary 2.3 ([7], 5.3.3)**.** *A multinormed Boolean algebra* $\mathcal{B}$ *is* σ*-distributive, if and only if* $\mathcal{B}$ *is an atomic Boolean algebra.*

A complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called *properly non σ-distributive*, if for any non-zero $e \in \mathcal{B}$ the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not σ-distributive. By Corollary 2.3, every multinorned continuous Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is properly non σ-distributive.

Let Q be the Stone space of a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Denote by $C_\infty(Q)$ the set of all continuous functions $x : Q \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ assuming the values $\pm\infty$ possibly on a nowhere-dense set. The space $C_\infty(Q)$ with naturally defined algebraic operations and partial order is an algebra over the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers and is a universally complete vector lattice. The identically one function $\mathbf{1}_Q$ is the unity of the algebra $C_\infty(Q)$ and an order-unity of the vector lattice $C_\infty(Q)$ ([11], 1.4.2).

An element $x \in C_\infty(Q)$ is an idempotent, i.e. $x^2 = x$, if and only if

$$x(t) = \chi_{Q(e)}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, t \in Q(e) \\ 0, t \notin Q(e) \end{cases} =: e(t)$$

for some clopen set $Q(e) \subset Q$ corresponding to the element $e \in \mathcal{B}$, in addition, $e \leqslant q \Leftrightarrow e(t) \leqslant q(t)$ for all $t \in Q$, where $e, q \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is identified with the Boolean algebra of all idempotents from $C_\infty(Q)$. In this identification the unity $\mathbf{1}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ coincides with the function $\mathbf{1}_Q$, and zero $\mathbf{0}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ coincides with identically zero function. Further we suppose that $\mathcal{B} \subset C_\infty(Q)$, and the algebra $C_\infty(Q)$ we denote by $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

As in an arbitrary vector lattice, for every $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ denote by $x_+ := x \vee 0$ (respectively, $x_- := -(x \wedge 0)$) the positive (negative) part of x and by $|x| := x_+ + x_-$ denote the modulus of x. The set of all positive elements of $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is denoted by $L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$.

For every $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ define the support of x by the equality $s(x) = \mathbf{1} - \sup\{e \in \mathcal{B} : ex = 0\}$. It is clear that $s(x) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $s(q) = q$ for all $q \in \mathcal{B}$. Note, that an idempotent $q \in \mathcal{B}$ is the support of $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$, if and only if $qx = x$ and from $e \in \mathcal{B}, ex = x$, it follows that $e \geqslant q$.

It is easy to see that supports of elements have the following properties:

Proposition 2.4. *If $x, y \in L^0(\mathcal{B}), 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then*
(i). $s(\lambda x) = s(x)$;
(ii). $s(xy) = s(x)s(y)$;
(iii). $s(|x|) = s(x)$;
(iv). If $xy = 0$, then $s(x + y) = s(x) + s(y)$, in particular, $s(x) = s(x_+) + s(x_-)$.

For an arbitrary non-empty subset $E \subset L^0(\mathcal{B})$ define the support $s(E)$ of E by setting $s(E) = \sup\{s(x) \colon x \in E\}$.

A non-zero linear subspace X of $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is called a solid space, if $x \in X, y \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $|y| \leqslant |x|$ implies that $y \in X$. If X is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $s(X) = \mathbf{1}$, then X is said to be a order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Denote by $C(Q)$ the algebra of all continuous functions on Q with values in $\mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $C(Q)$ is a subalgebra and a order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, in addition, $C(Q)$ is a Banach algebra with the norm $\|x\|_\infty = \sup\limits_{t \in Q} |x(t)|$, $x \in C(Q)$. As usual, a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $C(Q)$ is called an ideal, if $xa \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $x \in C(Q), a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.5. *(i). A linear subspace X in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is solid, if and only if $C(Q)X = X$;*

(ii). If X is a solid space and $X \subset C(Q)$, then X is an ideal in $C(Q)$, in particular, X is a subalgebra of $C_\infty(Q)$. Conversely, if X is an ideal in $C(Q)$, then X is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. (i) It is clear that $X = \mathbf{1}X \subset C(Q)X$. Let X be a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and let $y \in C(Q), x \in X$. Select $c > 0$ such that $|y| \leqslant c\mathbf{1}$. Then $|yx| \leqslant c|x|$, that implies $yx \in X$. Consequently, $C(Q)X \subset X$, and therefore $C(Q)X = X$.

Conversely, if $C(Q)X = X$, $x \in X, y \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $|y| \leqslant |x|$, then $|y| = a|x|$, where $a \in C(Q)$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant \mathbf{1}$. Hence, $|y| \in X$, and therefore $y = (s(y_+) - s(y_-))|y| \in X$.

(ii) If X is a solid space and $X \subset C(Q)$, then $C(Q)X = X$ (see item (i)), and therefore X is an ideal in $C(Q)$. Conversely, if X is an ideal in $C(Q)$, then $C(Q)X = X$ and, by item (i), X is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Then*

(i). For any non-zero $e \in s(X)\mathcal{B}$ there exists $0 \neq p \in X \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that $p \leqslant e$.

(ii). There exists a partition $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of the support $s(X)$ contained in X.

Proof. (i). Since $s(X) = \sup\{s(x) : x \in X\}$, for every given $0 \neq e \in s(X)\mathcal{B}$ there exists a non-zero $x_0 \in X$ such that $s(|x_0|)e \neq 0$. For every $\lambda > 0$ consider the spectral idempotent $e_\lambda(|x_0|) = \{t \in Q : |x_0(t)| \leqslant \lambda\}$ of $|x_0|$. Since $\lambda\big(\mathbf{1} - e_\lambda(|x_0|)\big) \leqslant \big(\mathbf{1} - e_\lambda(|x_0|)\big)|x_0|$ and X is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, it follows that $\big(\mathbf{1} - e_\lambda(|x_0|)\big) \in X$. Using the convergence $\big(\mathbf{1} - e_\lambda(|x_0|)\big) \uparrow s(|x_0|)$ for $\lambda \to 0$, we have $\big(\mathbf{1} - e_\lambda(|x_0|)\big)e \uparrow s(|x_0|)e \neq 0$. Hence, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$, such that $p = \big(\mathbf{1} - e_{\lambda_0}(|x_0|)\big)e \neq 0$, in addition $p \in X$ and $p \leqslant e$.

Item (ii) follows from Theorem 2.1 and item (i). $\square$

Let X be a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is either the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, or the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers. A mapping $\|\cdot\| : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a quasi-norm, if there exists $C \geqslant 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ the following properties hold

1) $\|x\| \geqslant 0, \|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$;
2) $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha|\|x\|$;
3) $\|x + y\| \leqslant C(\|x\| + \|y\|)$.

A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ on a solid space X is said to be monotone, if $x, y \in X, |y| \leqslant |x|$ implies that $\|y\|_X \leqslant \|x\|_X$. A solid space X in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is called a quasi-normed solid space, if X is equipped with a monotone quasi-norm.

For every non-zero $e \in \mathcal{B}$ consider the subalgebra
$eL^0(\mathcal{B}) = \{ex : x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})\}$. If Q is the Stone space of a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$, and $Q(e)$ is a clopen set corresponding to the idempotent $e \in \mathcal{B}$, then $Q_e = Q(e) \cap Q$ is the Stone space of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$. In addition, the mapping $\Phi\colon eL^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ defined by the equality $\Phi(x) = x|_{Q_e}$, where $x|_{Q_e}$ is the restriction of a function x on the compact Q_e, is an algebraic and lattice isomorphism of $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, such that $\Phi(p) = p$ for all $p \in e\mathcal{B}$. Consequently, for every solid space X in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and every $0 \neq e \in \mathcal{B}$ the image

$Y = \Phi(eX)$ is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, in addition, if $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a monotone quasi-norm on X, then $\|y\|_Y = \|\Phi^{-1}(y)\|_X, y \in \Phi(eX)$, is a monotone quasi-norm on Y. Hence the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.7. *If X is a solid space (respectively, $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a quasi-normed solid space) in $L^0(\mathcal{B}), 0 \neq e \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\Phi(eX)$ (respectively, $(\Phi(eX), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi(eX)})$) is a solid space (respectively, a quasi-normed solid space) in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, where $\|\Phi(ex)\|_{\Phi(eX)} = \|ex\|_X$ for all $x \in X$. In addition, $\Phi(eX)$ is a order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, if $e = s(X)$.*

Now, consider the complexification $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}) = L^0(\mathcal{B}) \oplus iL^0(\mathcal{B})$ (with i standing for the imaginary unity) of a real vector lattice $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (see [11], 1.3.11). As usual, for an element $z = x + iy, x, y \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ the adjoint element of z is defined by the equality $\overline{z} = x - iy$, in addition, $\text{Re}z = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z}) = x$ is called the real part of z and $\text{Im}z = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \overline{z}) = y$ is called the imaginary part of z. The modulus $|z|$ of every element $z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ is defined by the equality $|z| := \sup\{\text{Re}(e^{i\theta}z) : 0 \leqslant \theta < 2\pi\}$. An element z of $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ may be interpreted as continuous function $z\colon Q \to \overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, assuming the values ∞ possibly on a nowhere-dense set, where $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{C}$. In addition, the algebraic operations in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ coincide with pointwise algebraic operations for the functions from $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, defined up to non-where dense sets. In particular, $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ is a commutative $*$-algebra and the modulus $|z|$ of an element $z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ is defined by the equality $|z|(t) = (\overline{z}(t)z(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} = ((\text{Re}z(t))^2 + (\text{Im}z(t))^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all t from some open everywhere dense set from Q. The selfadjoint part $(L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}))_h = \{z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}) : z = \overline{z}\}$ of a complex vector lattice $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ coincides with $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. In particular, $|z| \in L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$ for all $z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}(Q)$ of all continuous complex functions $z\colon Q \to \mathbb{C}$ coincides with the complexification $C(Q) \oplus iC(Q)$ and $\mathbb{C}(Q)$ is a commutative C^*-algebra with the norm $\|z\|_\infty = \sup\limits_{t\in Q} |z(t)|$.

For any $z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ the support of z is defined by the equality $s(z) := s(|z|)$, and the support $s(E)$ of a subset $E \subset L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, by the equality $s(E) = \sup\{s(z) : z \in E\}$, respectively.

A solid space $\mathbb{X}$ and a quasi-normed solid space $(\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}})$ in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ are defined as in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. It is clear that for a solid space $\mathbb{X}$ in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ the set $X := \mathbb{X}_h = \mathbb{X} \cap L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, in addition, $\mathbb{X} = X \oplus iX$. Moreover, an element $z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ contained in $\mathbb{X}$ if and only if $|z| \in \mathbb{X}_h \cap L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$, in addition, $s(\mathbb{X}) = s(\mathbb{X}_h)$. If $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a monotone quasi-norm on $\mathbb{X}$, then $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}})$ is a quasi-normed solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Conversely, if X is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a monotone quasi-norm on X, then $\mathbb{X} = X \oplus iX$ is a solid space in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{X}_h = X$ and the function $\|z\|_{\mathbb{X}} = \||z|\|_X, z \in \mathbb{X}$ is a monotone quasi-norm on $\mathbb{X}$.

3. DERIVATIONS ON SOLID SPACES IN $L^0(\mathcal{B})$

A linear mapping δ from $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$) into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$) is called a *derivation* if

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y) \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, $x, y \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$).

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of non-zero derivations.

Theorem 3.1 ([10], Corollary 3.5)**.** *For a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). $\mathcal{B}$ is a σ-distributive Boolean algebra;

(ii). There are no non-zero derivations from $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$.

In case, when the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is multinormed, $\mathcal{B}$ is σ-distributive if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is an atomic Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ (see Corollary 2.3). Therefore for a multinormed Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$, there exist nonzero derivations on $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, if and only if the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is not atomic (this fact was also established independently of [10] in ([3], Theorem 3.4)).

By Theorem 3.1, in case when $\mathcal{B}$ is not a σ-distributive Boolean algebra there exists non-zero derivations from $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$.

A derivation $\delta\colon L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ is called a $*$-derivation, if $\delta(\overline{z}) = \overline{\delta(z)}$ for all $z \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. In this case, $\delta(L^0(\mathcal{B})) \subset L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and the restriction of δ on $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is a (real) derivation from $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

For every derivation $\delta\colon L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ consider the mappings $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ from $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, defined by the equalities:

$$\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}(x) = \frac{\delta(x) + \overline{\delta(x)}}{2}, \delta_{\mathbb{Im}}(x) = \frac{\delta(x) - \overline{\delta(x)}}{2i}, x \in L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}). \tag{2}$$

It is clear that $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}, \delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ are $*$-derivations from $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, in addition $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{Re}} + i\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$.

Theorem 3.1 implies the following

Corollary 3.2. *For a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is σ-distributive;

(ii). There are no non-zero derivations from $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. If δ is an arbitrary (real) derivation from $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, then $\hat{\delta}(x+iy) = \delta(x) + i\delta(y)$ is a (complex) derivation from $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\hat{\delta} = 0$, and therefore $\delta = 0$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Since any derivation $\delta\colon L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ has a form $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{Re}} + i\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$, where the restriction of $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ on $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ are (real) derivations from $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, it follows that there are no non-zero derivations on $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. By Theorem 3.1, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is not σ-distributive. $\square$

Note, that the assertion of Corollary 3.2 has been mentioned in 5.3.4 of [7]. Since in [7] it is given without the proof, for complete expression we give the proof.

By Corollary 2.3, for a multinormed Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$, there are no non-zero derivations on $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if the Boolean algebra is $\mathcal{B}$ atomic.

Let X, Y be solid spaces in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, $X \subset C(Q)$. By Proposition 2.5, $C(Q)Y = Y$, i.e. $xy \in Y$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y$. This circumstance provides the correctness of the following definition.

A linear mapping $\delta \colon X \to Y$ is called *derivation*, if equality (1) holds for all $x, y \in X$. A (complex) derivation for solid spaces $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, where $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{C}(Q)$, is defined in the same manner. For every derivation $\delta \colon X \to Y$ (respectively, $\delta \colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$) the idempotent $s(\delta) = \sup\{s(\delta(x)) : x \in X\}$ (respectively, $s(\delta) = \sup\{s(\delta(z)) : z \in \mathbb{X}\}$) is called the support of the derivation δ. It is clear that $s(\delta) \leqslant s(Y)$ (respectively, $s(\delta) \leqslant s(\mathbb{Y})$).

Proposition 3.3 (compare [3], §2, Proposition 2.3)**.** *If $\delta \colon X \to Y$ is a derivation from X into Y, then for all $e \in X \cap \mathcal{B}, x \in X$ the equalities $\delta(e) = 0$ and $\delta(ex) = e\delta(x)$ hold.*

Proof. If $e \in X \cap \mathcal{B}$, then $\delta(e) = \delta(e^2) = \delta(e)e + e\delta(e) = 2e\delta(e)$, and therefore $e\delta(e) = 2e\delta(e)$, i.e. $e\delta(e) = 0$, that implies the equality $\delta(e) = 0$. Further, for $x \in X$ we have $\delta(ex) = \delta(e)x + e\delta(x) = e\delta(x)$. □

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$. We need the following useful property of derivations on $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $\delta \colon C(Q) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is a non-zero derivation, then there exist a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $C(Q)$ and a non-zero idempotent $q \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $|a_n| \leqslant \mathbf{1}$ and $|\delta(a_n)| \geqslant nq$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In the proof of Theorem 3.4 we use the notion of a cyclic set in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ given below.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a partition of unity in $\mathcal{B}, x_i \in L^0(\mathcal{B}), i \in I$, where I is an arbitrary index set. Select a unique $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $e_i x = e_i x_i$ for all $i \in I$ (the uniqueness of x follows from the equality $\sup\limits_{i\in I} e_i = \mathbf{1}$ ([11], 7.3.1)). The element x is called a mixing of the family $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ by the partition of unity $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ and is denoted by $\underset{i\in I}{\operatorname{mix}}(e_i x_i)$. If $x_i \geqslant 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $\underset{i\in I}{\operatorname{mix}}(e_i x_i) = \sup\limits_{i\in I} e_i x_i$.

The set of all mixing of families of elements from $E \subset L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is called a cyclic envelope of a subset E in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{mix}(E)$. Clearly, $E \subset \operatorname{mix}(E)$. If $\operatorname{mix}(E) = E$, then E is said to be a cyclic subset in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ ([12], 0.3.5). It is clear that $\operatorname{mix}(\operatorname{mix}(E)) = \operatorname{mix}(E)$. Since for every $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ there exists a partition $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $e_n x \in C(Q)$ for all n, it follows that $x = \underset{n}{\operatorname{mix}}(e_n x) \in \operatorname{mix}(C(Q))$. Hence, $\operatorname{mix}(C(Q)) = L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Lemma 3.5. *If* $E \subset L^0_+(\mathcal{B}), E = \operatorname{mix}(E)$ *and* E *is an order unbounded set in* $L^0(\mathcal{B})$*, there exist* $0 \neq q \in \mathcal{B}, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset E$ *such that* $qx_n \geqslant nq$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of the lemma. Let us show that there exists a non-zero idempotent $q \in \mathcal{B}$ such that the set pE is an order unbounded in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ for all $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{B}_q$. If this is not the case, for every $0 \neq q \in \mathcal{B}$ there exist $0 \neq p_q \in \mathcal{B}_q, a_p \in p_q L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$ such that $0 \leqslant p_q x \leqslant a_p$ for all $x \in E$. By Theorem 2.1, there exist a partition of unity $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ and elements $a_i \in e_i L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$, such that $0 \leqslant e_i x \leqslant a_i$ for all $x \in E$ and $i \in I$. Set $a = \operatorname{mix}_{i\in I}(e_i a_i)$. Then $a \in L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$ and for $x \in E$ the relationships hold $e_i x \leqslant e_i a_i = e_i a$ for all $i \in I$, that implies $0 \leqslant x \leqslant a$. It means that E is order bounded in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, there exists a non-zero idempotent $q \in \mathcal{B}$ such that pE is order unbounded in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ for all $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{B}_q$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{B}_q$ select an element $x_{n,p} \in pE$ which is not dominated by the element np. It means that there exists $0 \neq r_p \in \mathcal{B}_p$ such that $r_p x_{n,p} \geqslant n r_p$. Using Theorem 2.1 again, select a partition $\{z_j\}_{j\in J}$ of the element q and elements $x_{n,j} \in z_j E$, such that $n z_j \leqslant z_j x_{n,j}$. Since E is a cyclic subset, then $x_n = \operatorname{mix}_{j\in J}(z_j x_{n,j}) \in qE$, in addition, $z_j x_n = z_j x_{n,j} \geqslant n z_j$ for all $j \in J$. Hence $qx_n = x_n \geqslant nq$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Let us proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Assume that the set $E = \{|\delta(a)| : a \in C(Q), |a| \leqslant \mathbf{1}\}$ is order bounded in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. there exists $x \in L^0_+(\mathcal{B})$ such that $|\delta(a)| \leqslant x$ for all $a \in C(Q)$ with $|a| \leqslant \mathbf{1}$. Let us show that in this case $\delta = 0$.

Let $\{y_n\} \in C(Q)$ and $t_n = \|y_n - y\|_\infty \to 0$ for some $y \in C(Q)$. Then

$$|\delta(y_n) - \delta(y)| = |\delta(y_n - y)| = t_n \left|\delta\left(\frac{y_n - y}{t_n}\right)\right| \leqslant t_n x \tag{3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t_n \neq 0$.

Since $\delta(e) = 0$ for all $e \in \mathcal{B}$ (see Proposition 3.3), it follows that $\delta(x) = 0$ for all step elements $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, e_i \in \mathcal{B}, i = \overline{1,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $b \in C(Q)$ there exists a sequence of step elements $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\|b - x_n\|_\infty \to 0$. Due to (3), we have $|\delta(b)| = |\delta(b) - \delta(x_n)| \leqslant \|b - x_n\|_\infty x$, that implies $\delta(b) = 0$, which is contradiction to the assertion of Theorem 3.4.

Thus, the set E is order unbounded in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Let us show that $E = \operatorname{mix}(E)$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a partition of unity and let $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of elements from E. Since $x_i = |\delta(a_i)|, a_i \in C(Q), |a_i| \leqslant \mathbf{1}$, then $e_i x_i = |e_i \delta(a_i)| = |\delta(e_i a_i)|, i \in I$. Setting $a = \operatorname{mix}_{i\in I}(e_i a_i)$ we have that $a \in C(Q), |a| \leqslant \mathbf{1}$ and

$$e_i|\delta(a)| = |e_i\delta(a)| = |\delta(e_i a)| = |\delta(e_i a_i)| = e_i x_i, i \in I,$$

i.e. $\operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_ix_i) = |\delta(a)| \in E$. Consequently, $E = \operatorname{mix}(E)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, there exist $0 \neq q \in \mathcal{B}, \{a_n\} \in C(Q)$ with $|a_n| \leqslant \mathbf{1}$, such that $|\delta(a_n)| \geqslant q|\delta(a_n)| \geqslant nq$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Theorem 3.4 implies the following significant property of the support $s(\delta)$ of a derivation δ from $C(Q)$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let $\delta\colon C(Q) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ be a non-zero derivation. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $b_n \in C(Q)$, such that $|b_n| \leqslant s(\delta)$ and $|\delta(b_n)| \geqslant ns(\delta)$, in particular, $s(\delta(b_n)) = s(\delta)$.*

Proof. If $0 \neq e \leqslant s(\delta), e \in \mathcal{B}$, then there exists $x \in C(Q)$, such that $p = es(\delta(x)) \neq 0$. Since $y = px \in pC(Q)$, $\delta(y) = p\delta(x) \neq 0$ and $\delta(pz) = p\delta(z) \in pL^0(\mathcal{B})$ (see Proposition 3.3) for all $z \in C(Q)$, it follows that the restriction δ_p of the derivation δ on $pC(Q)$ is a non-zero derivation from $pC(Q)$ into $pL^0(\mathcal{B})$. Let Φ be the algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $pL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_p)$, for which $\Phi(g) = g$ for all $g \in p\mathcal{B}$ (see Section 2) and let Q_p be the Stone space of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_p$. It is clear that $\Phi(pC(Q)) = C(Q_p)$. Consider the mapping $\hat{\delta}_p\colon C(Q_p) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_p)$, defined by the equality $\hat{\delta}_p(x) = \Phi(\delta_p(\Phi^{-1}(x))), x \in C(Q_p)$. Easy to see that $\hat{\delta}_p$ is a linear mapping, in addition, for all $x, y \in C(Q_p)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta}_p(xy) &= \Phi\Big(\delta_p\big(\Phi^{-1}(x)\Phi^{-1}(y)\big)\Big) = \Phi\Big(\big(\delta_p(\Phi^{-1}(x))\Phi^{-1}(y) + \Phi^{-1}(x)\delta_p(\Phi^{-1}(y))\big)\Big)\\ &= \Phi\Big(\delta_p\big(\Phi^{-1}(x)\big)\Big)y + x\Phi\Big(\delta_p\big(\Phi^{-1}(y)\big)\Big) = \hat{\delta}_p(x)y + x\hat{\delta}_p(y).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\hat{\delta}_p$ is a derivation from $C(Q_p)$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B}_p)$. Since δ_p is a non-zero derivation, it follows that $\hat{\delta}_p$ is also a non-zero derivation. By Theorem 3.4, there exist $a(p,n) \in C(Q_p)$ and $0 \neq q_p \in \mathcal{B}_p$ such that $|a(p,n)| \leqslant p$ and $|\hat{\delta}_p(a(p,n))| \geqslant nq_p$. Hence, for $b(p,n) = \Phi^{-1}(a(p,n))$ we have $b(p,n) \in pC(Q)$, $|b(p,n)| \leqslant p$ and

$$|\delta(b(p,n))| = |\delta_p(b(p,n))| = |\Phi^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_p(a(p,n)))| \geqslant nq_p.$$

According to Theorem 2.1, there exist a partition $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of the idempotent $s(\delta)$ and $b(i,n) \in e_iC(Q)$, such that $|b(i,n)| \leqslant e_i$ and $|\delta(b(i,n))| \geqslant ne_i$ for all $i \in I$. For the element $b_n = \operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_ib(i,n))$ we have that $b_n \in s(\delta)C(Q)$, $|b_n| \leqslant s(\delta)$ and

$$e_i|\delta(b_n)| = |\delta(e_ib_n)| = |\delta(b(i,n))| \geqslant ne_i$$

for all $i \in I$, and therefore $|\delta(b_n)| \geqslant ns(\delta)$. □

Using Proposition 3.6 the following useful description of the range of values of non-zero derivations, defined on $C(Q)$, is established.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let Y be a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and let $\delta\colon C(Q) \to Y$ be a non-zero derivation. Then $s(\delta)Y = s(\delta)L^0(\mathcal{B})$, in particular, if $s(\delta) = \mathbf{1}$, then $Y = L^0(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 for every $a \in C(Q)$ we have that $\delta\big((\mathbf{1} - s(\delta))a\big)= \big(\mathbf{1} - s(\delta)\big)s(\delta(a))\delta(a) = 0$, in addition, $\delta(C(Q)) \subset s(\delta)Y$. Using Proposition 2.7 and passing to the derivation $\delta_{s(\delta)}\colon s(\delta)C(Q) \to s(\delta)Y$, defined by the equality $\delta_{s(\delta)}(a) = \delta(a), a \in s(\delta)C(Q)$, we may assume that $s(\delta) = \mathbf{1}$.

If $Y \neq L^0(\mathcal{B})$, then there exist a partition of unity $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$, such that $e_n x = n e_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \notin Y$. Using Proposition 3.6, select $b_n \in C(Q)$ such that $|b_n| \leqslant \mathbf{1}$ and $|\delta(b_n)| \geqslant n\mathbf{1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|e_n b_n| \leqslant e_n$, we have that $b = \underset{n\in\mathbb{N}}{\mathrm{mix}}(e_n b_n) \in C(Q)$, in addition,

$$e_n|\delta(b)| = |\delta(e_n b)| = |\delta(e_n b_n)| = |e_n \delta(b_n)| \geqslant n e_n = e_n x$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $|\delta(b)| \geqslant x \geqslant 0$. Since $\delta(b) \in Y$ and Y is a solid space, it follows that $x \in Y$. This contradiction implies that $Y = L^0(\mathcal{B})$. $\square$

Let us give an example of a derivation $\delta\colon C(Q) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ with $s(\delta) = \mathbf{1}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a properly non σ-distributive Boolean algebra. By Corollary 3.2, for every non-zero idempotent $e \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a non-zero derivation $\delta_e\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}_e) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Denote by Q_e the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}_e$ and consider the restriction $\hat{\delta}_e$ of the derivation δ_e on $C(Q_e)$.

We claim that $\hat{\delta}_e$ is a non-zero derivation. Suppose that $\hat{\delta}_e(a) = 0$ for all $a \in C(Q_e)$. For every $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ there exists a partition $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the idempotent e, such that $e_n x \in C(Q_e)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to Proposition 3.3, we have that

$$e_n \delta_e(x) = \delta_e(e_n x) = \hat{\delta}_e(e_n x) = 0, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

that implies the equality $\delta_e(x) = (\sup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} e_n)\delta_e(x) = 0, x \in L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, which is a contradiction since δ_e is a non-zero derivation. Consequently, $\hat{\delta}_e\colon C(Q_e) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ is also a non-zero derivation.

Thus, identifying $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ with $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $C(Q_e)$ with $eC(Q)$ (see Section 2), we have that for every $0 \neq e \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a non-zero derivation $\delta_e\colon eC(Q) \to eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ with the non-zero support $s(\delta_e) \leqslant e$. For $a \in eC(Q)$ we have $\delta_e\big((e - s(\delta_e))a\big) = (e - s(\delta_e))s(\delta_e(a))\delta_e(a) = 0$, i.e. $\delta_e(a) = \delta_e(s(\delta_e)a)$, and therefore the restriction $\delta_{s(\delta_e)}$ of the derivation δ_e on $s(\delta_e)C(Q)$ is a non-zero derivation with the support $s(\delta_{s(\delta_e)}) = s(\delta_e)$. By Theorem 2.1, there exist a partition $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of unity $\mathbf{1}$ and non-zero derivations $\delta_{e_i}\colon e_i C(Q) \to e_i L^0(\mathcal{B})$, such that $s(\delta_{e_i}) = e_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Now, define the mapping $\delta\colon C(Q) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$, by setting $\delta(x) = \underset{i\in I}{\mathrm{mix}}(e_i \delta_{e_i}(e_i x))$, $x \in C(Q)$. It is clear that δ is a linear mapping, moreover, for all $x, y \in C(Q)$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(xy) &= \operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_i\delta_{e_i}(e_ixe_iy)) = \operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_i(\delta_{e_i}(e_ix)e_iy + e_ix\delta_{e_i}(e_iy)))\\ &= \operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_i\delta_{e_i}(e_ix)e_iy) + \operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_ixe_i\delta_{e_i}(e_iy)) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\delta\colon C(Q)\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is a derivation, in addition,

$$e_is(\delta(x)) = s(e_i\delta(x)) = s(\delta_{e_i}(e_ix))$$

for all $x\in C(Q), i\in I$. It means that

$$\begin{aligned}s(\delta) &= \sup\{s(\delta(x)) : x\in C(Q)\} = \sup\{e_is(\delta(x)) : x\in C(Q), i\in I\}\\ &= \sup\{e_is(\delta_{e_i}(a)) : a\in e_iC(Q), i\in I\} = \sup\{e_is(\delta_{e_i}) : i\in I\} = \sup_{i\in I} e_i = \mathbf{1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a properly non σ-distributive Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a derivation $\delta\colon C(Q)\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$, such that $s(\delta)=\mathbf{1}$.*

Let X be an ideal in $C(Q)$ and let δ be a derivation from X into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Denote by $Y(\delta)$ the smallest solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, containing the image $\delta(X)$ of the derivation δ.

Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 3.7 imply that in case when $\mathcal{B}$ is a properly non σ-distributive Boolean algebra there exists a derivation $\delta\colon C(Q)\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$, such that $Y(\delta)=L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Let X be an arbitrary ideal in $C(Q)$. A derivation $\delta\colon X\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is called *band preserving*, if $s(\delta(x))\leqslant s(x)$ for all $x\in X$ (compare [7], 1.1.1). It is clear that for band preserving derivations the inequality $s(\delta)\leqslant s(X)$ always holds. By Proposition 3.3, for a derivation $\delta\colon C(Q)\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, $\delta\colon L^0(\mathcal{B})\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$) we have that $\delta(x)=\delta(s(x)x)=s(x)\delta(x)$, i.e. $s(\delta(x))\leqslant s(x)$ for all $x\in C(Q)$ (respectively, $x\in L^0(\mathcal{B})$). Consequently, any derivation from $C(Q)$ (respectively, $L^0(\mathcal{B})$) into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is band preserving. At the same time, there exist ideals X in $C(Q)$ with $s(X)\neq\mathbf{1}$ and derivations $\delta\colon X\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ which are not band preserving (see Example 5.2). Let us give useful criterion for a derivation to be band preserving.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra with the Stone space Q, let X be an ideal in $C(Q)$. For a derivation $\delta\colon X\to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). δ is a band preserving derivation;

(ii). $s(\delta)\leqslant s(X)$.

Proof. The implication $(i)\Rightarrow(ii)$ is obvious.

$(ii)\Rightarrow(i)$. Suppose that there exists $x_0\in X$, such that $e=(\mathbf{1}-s(x_0))s(\delta(x_0))\neq 0$. Since $e\leqslant s(\delta(x_0))\leqslant s(\delta)\leqslant s(X)$, there exists $x\in X$, such that

$q = es(x) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.6 (i), there exists $0 \neq p \in X \cap \mathcal{B}$, such that $p \leqslant q \leqslant e \leqslant s(\delta(x_0))$. Consequently (see Proposition 3.3),

$$0 \neq ps(\delta(x_0)) = s(p\delta(x_0)) = s(\delta(px_0)) = s(\delta(pex_0)) = s(\delta(0)) = 0.$$

This contradiction implies that δ is a band preserving derivation. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *If X is an ideal in $C(Q)$ with $s(X) = \mathbf{1}$, then any derivation $\delta\colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is band preserving.*

4. Extension of derivations

In this section we give the construction of extension of a band preserving derivation $\delta\colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$, acting on an ideal of the algebra $C(Q)$, up to a derivation $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (compare [3], Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let X be an ideal in the algebra $C(Q)$ and let $\delta\colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ be a band preserving derivation. Then there exists a derivation $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\hat{\delta}(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. In addition, if $s(X) = \mathbf{1}$, then such derivation $\hat{\delta}$ is unique.*

Proof. Firstly, let us assume that $X = C(Q)$. For every $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ there exists a partition of unity $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $e_n x \in C(Q)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $\hat{\delta}(x) = \underset{n\in\mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{mix}}(e_n\delta(e_n x))$. Let us show that this definition does not depend on a choice of the partition of unity $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. If $\{q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is another partition of unity, for which $q_n x \in C(Q)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y = \underset{n\in\mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{mix}}(q_n\delta(q_n x))$, then

$$e_m q_n y = e_m q_n \delta(q_n x) = q_n e_m \delta(e_m q_n x) = q_n e_m \delta(e_m x) = q_n e_m \hat{\delta}(x)$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sup\limits_{m\in\mathbb{N}} e_m = \sup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} q_n = \mathbf{1}$, then $y = \hat{\delta}(x)$. Thus, the mapping $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is correctly defined.

If $x, y \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \{p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are partitions of unity such that $e_n x, p_n y \in C(Q)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e_n p_m \hat{\delta}(x+y) &= e_n p_m \delta(e_n p_m (x+y)) = e_n p_m \delta(e_n p_m x) + e_n p_m \delta(e_n p_m y) = \\ &= e_n p_m \big(\delta(e_n x) + \delta(p_m y)\big) = e_n p_m (\hat{\delta}(x) + \hat{\delta}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $\hat{\delta}(x + y) = \hat{\delta}(x) + \hat{\delta}(y)$. Similarly, it is established that $\hat{\delta}(\lambda x) = \lambda\hat{\delta}(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, x \in C(Q)$. Thus, $\hat{\delta}$ is a linear mapping. Further

$$\begin{aligned} e_n p_m \hat{\delta}(xy) &= e_n p_m \delta((e_n x)(p_m y)) = e_n p_m \big(\delta(e_n x) p_m y + e_n x \delta(p_m y)\big) = \\ &= e_n p_m (\hat{\delta}(x) y + x\hat{\delta}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, that implies the equality $\hat{\delta}(xy) = \hat{\delta}(x)y + x\hat{\delta}(y)$.

Consequently, $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is a derivation, in addition, for $x \in C(Q)$ and a partition of unity $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ the equalities $e_n\delta(x) = e_n\delta(e_nx) = e_n\hat{\delta}(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ hold, i.e. $\delta(x) = \hat{\delta}(x)$.

Assume that $\delta_1\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is another derivation, for which $\delta_1(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in C(Q)$. Then, by Proposition 3.3, for $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and a partition of unity $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $e_nx \in C(Q), n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$e_n\delta_1(x) = e_n\delta_1(e_nx) = e_n\hat{\delta}(e_nx) = e_n\hat{\delta}(x)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} e_n = \mathbf{1}$, it follows that $\delta_1(x) = \hat{\delta}(x)$ for all $x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. $\delta_1 = \hat{\delta}_1$.

Now, let X be an arbitrary ideal in $C(Q)$ such that $s(X) = \mathbf{1}$. Due to Proposition 2.5(ii), X is order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. By Proposition 2.6(ii) there exists a partition of unity $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ contained in X. For all $i \in I, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $\lambda e_i \in X$, that implies the inclusion $e_iC(Q) \subset X$ for all $i \in I$.

Define the mapping $\overline{\delta}\colon C(Q) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ by setting

$$\overline{\delta}(x) = \operatorname*{mix}_{i\in I}(e_i\delta(e_ix)), x \in C(Q).$$

As above it is established that $\overline{\delta}$ is a derivation from $C(Q)$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, in addition, $\overline{\delta}(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Assume that $\delta_2\colon C(Q) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is another derivation for which $\delta_2(x) = \delta(x), x \in X$. If $x \in C(Q)$, then $e_ix \in X$ for all $i \in I$ and

$$e_i\delta_2(x) = e_i\delta_2(e_ix) = e_i\delta(e_ix) = e_i\overline{\delta}(e_ix) = e_i\overline{\delta}(x),$$

i.e. $\delta_2 = \overline{\delta}$. Thus, $\overline{\delta}$ is a unique derivation from $C(Q)$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\overline{\delta}(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. From the first part of the proof it follows that there exists a unique derivation $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\hat{\delta}(x) = \overline{\delta}(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now, consider an arbitrary ideal X in $C(Q)$. Let $e = s(X) \neq \mathbf{1}$ and Φ is the algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ (see Section 2). By Proposition 2.7 $\Phi(X)$ is a order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Furthermore, since $s(\delta) \leqslant s(X)$ (see Theorem 3.9), we have $\delta(X) \subset eL^0(\mathcal{B}) = \Phi^{-1}(L^0(\mathcal{B}_e))$. Consequently, there is correctly defined a mapping $\delta_0\colon \Phi(X) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ by the equality $\delta_0(\Phi(x)) = \Phi(\delta(x))$. It is clear that δ_0 is a derivation from the order-dense solid space $\Phi(X)$ with values in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. From the proven above, it follows that there exists a derivation $\hat{\delta}_0\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}_e) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ such that $\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(x)) = \delta_0(\Phi(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Consider the linear mapping $\hat{\delta}_1\colon eL^0(\mathcal{B}) \to eL^0(\mathcal{B})$, defined by the equality $\hat{\delta}_1(ex) = \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(ex))), x \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$. For all $x, y \in$

$L^0(\mathcal{B})$ we have

$$\hat{\delta}_1(exey) = \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(ex)\Phi(ey))) = \Phi^{-1}\big(\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(ex))\Phi(ey) + \Phi(ex)\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(ey))\big) =$$
$$= \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(ex)))ey + ex\Phi^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(ey))) = \hat{\delta}_1(ex)ey + ex\hat{\delta}_1(ey).$$

Consequently, $\hat{\delta}_1$ is a derivation from $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ into $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$, in addition,

$$\hat{\delta}_1(x) = \hat{\delta}_1(ex) = \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(x))) = \Phi^{-1}(\delta_0(\Phi(x))) = \delta(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Extend the derivation $\hat{\delta}_1\colon eL^0(\mathcal{B}) \to eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ up to a derivation $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ by setting $\hat{\delta}(x) = \hat{\delta}_1(ex)$. It is clear that $\hat{\delta}$ is a derivation from $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $\hat{\delta}(x) = \hat{\delta}_1(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. □

Note that Corollary 3.10 and Theorem 4.1 immediately imply the following

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$ and let X be a order-dense solid space in $C(Q)$. Then for any derivation $\delta\colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ there exists a unique derivation $\hat{\delta}\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}) \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\hat{\delta}(x) = \delta(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Let X be an arbitrary nonzero ideal in $C(Q)$ with the support $e = s(X)$. Let us show that, when the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not σ-distributive, there exists a non-zero band preserving derivation δ from X into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Indeed, in this case, by Corollary 3.2, there exists a non-zero derivation $\delta\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}_e) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Let Φ be the algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Consider the restriction δ_0 of the derivation δ on $\Phi(X)$. Since $\Phi(X)$ is a order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ (see Proposition 2.7), it follows by corollary 4.2 that there exists a unique derivation $\hat{\delta}_0\colon L^0(\mathcal{B}_e) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ such that $\hat{\delta}_0(\Phi(x)) = \delta_0(\Phi(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Since $\delta(\Phi(x)) = \delta_0(\Phi(x))$ for all $x \in X$, due to the uniqueness of the derivation $\hat{\delta}_0$, we have $\delta = \hat{\delta}_0$. If δ_0 is a trivial derivation, then, due to the construction of $\hat{\delta}_0$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.1), we have that $\hat{\delta}_0$ is also trivial, and therefore $\delta = 0$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, δ_0 is a non-zero derivation from $\Phi(X)$ with values in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Construct the mapping $\overline{\delta}\colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ by setting $\overline{\delta}(x) = \Phi^{-1}(\delta_0(\Phi(x)))$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, it is established that the mapping $\overline{\delta}$ is a derivation. In addition, it is clear that $\overline{\delta}$ is a non-zero derivation from X with values in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $s(\delta) \leqslant s(X)$. According to Theorem 3.9, constructed derivation δ is a band preserving derivation.

Now, suppose that the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is σ-distributive and δ is a band preserving derivation from the ideal X with values in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Let us show that, in this case, δ is trivial. Let, as before, Φ be the algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Since $s(\delta) \leqslant s(X)$ (see Theorem 3.9), the derivation $\delta_1\colon \Phi(X) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$ is correctly defined by the equality

$\delta_1(\Phi(x)) = \Phi(\delta(x)), x \in X$. According to Corollary 4.2, there exists a derivation $\hat{\delta}_1 \colon L^0(\mathcal{B}_e) \to L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, such that $\hat{\delta}_1(\Phi(x)) = \delta_1(\Phi(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Since the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is σ-distributive, by Corollary 3.2, we have $\hat{\delta}_1 = 0$, that implies the equality $\delta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Thus, the following version of Theorem 3.1 holds.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let X be an ideal in $C(Q)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_{s(X)}$ is σ-distributive;

(ii). There are no non-zero band preserving derivations from X with values in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Now, consider the complex case. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be an ideal in the algebra $\mathbb{C}(Q)$ and let $(\mathbb{Y}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{Y}})$ be a solid space in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $X = \mathbb{X}_h$ is an ideal in the algebra $C(Q)$, $Y = \mathbb{Y}_h$ is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathbb{X} = X \oplus iX, \mathbb{Y} = Y \oplus iY$. As in case of $\mathbb{X} = L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$, a derivation $\delta \colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is called a $*$-derivation, if $\delta(\overline{x}) = \overline{\delta(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. In this case $\delta(\mathbb{X}_h) \subset \mathbb{Y}_h$ and the restriction of δ on X is a (real) derivation from X into Y.

For any derivation $\delta \colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ consider the mappings $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ from $\mathbb{X}$ into $\mathbb{Y}$, defined by the equalities (2). Easy to see that $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}, \delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ are $*$-derivations from $\mathbb{X}$ into $\mathbb{Y}$, in addition $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{Re}} + i\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$. As in the case of the field $\mathbb{R}$, a derivation $\delta \colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is called *band preserving*, if $s(\delta(x)) \leqslant s(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It is clear that a derivation $\delta \colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is band preserving if and only if the derivations $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ are band preserving, that, in its turn, holds if and only if the restrictions of $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ on X are band preserving. Therefore, in particular, for (complex) derivations $\delta \colon \mathbb{X} \to L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ the assertions of Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 are preserved.

Repeating the proof of Corollary 3.2, we obtain the following complex variant of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathbb{X}$ be an ideal in $C(Q)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). The Boolean algebra $s(\mathbb{X})\mathcal{B}$ is σ-distributive;

(ii). Every band preserving derivation from $\mathbb{X}$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ is trivial.

Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 and Corollary 3.10 imply the following

Corollary 4.5. *For a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with the Stone space Q and a order-dense solid space $X \subset C(Q)$ (respectively, $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{C}(Q)$) the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is σ-distributive;

(ii). Any derivation from X into $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, from $\mathbb{X}$ into $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$) is trivial.

Note, that for a solid space $X \subset C(Q)$ with $s(X) \neq \mathbf{1}$ there may exist non-zero derivations $\delta \colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ even in case when the Boolean algebra $s(X)\mathcal{B}$

is σ-distributive (see Example 5.2 below). Such derivations δ are not band preserving, i.e. the inequality $s(\delta) \leqslant s(X)$ does not hold (see Theorem 4.3).

5. Main results

In this section the necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of non-zero band preserving derivations from an ideal $X \subset C(Q)$ into a solid space $Y \subset L^0(\mathcal{B})$ are given.

Let e be a non-zero element from a Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$. A solid space Y in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is said to be *e-extended*, if $eY = eL^0(\mathcal{B})$. In this case, according to Proposition 2.5 (i), $eL^0(\mathcal{B}) \subset Y$, in particular, $e \in Y$. If Y is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B}), 0 \neq e \in Y \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\dim(eY) < \infty$, then, by the inclusion $eC(Q) \subset eY$,we have that $\dim C(Q_e) < \infty$, where Q_e is the Stone space of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$. Consequently, the idempotent e is a finite sum of atoms $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and therefore $eY = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} p_i Y = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{R} p_i = eL^0(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. Y is e-extended. Note also, that in case when $\mathcal{B}$ is continuous, a solid space $Y = C(Q)$ is not e-extended for any non-zero $e \in \mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let X be an ideal in $C(Q)$ and let Y be a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). There exists a non-zero band preserving derivation from X into Y;

(ii). There exists a non-zero idempotent $e \leqslant s(X)s(Y)$, such that Y is e-extended and the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not σ-distributive.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Let $\delta \colon X \to Y$ be a non-zero band preserving derivation. By Theorem 3.9 we have that $0 \neq s(\delta) \leqslant s(X)s(Y)$. According to Proposition 2.6 (i), there exists a non-zero $e \in X \cap \mathcal{B}$, such that $e \leqslant s(\delta)$. Since $eX = eC(Q)$, it follows that the restriction δ_e of the derivation δ on eX is a derivation from $eC(Q)$ into $eY \subset eL^0(\mathcal{B})$, in addition,

$$\begin{aligned} s(\delta_e) &= \sup\{\delta(ex) : x \in X\} = \sup\{e\delta(x) : x \in X\} = \\ &= e \sup\{\delta(x) : x \in X\} = es(\delta) = e. \end{aligned}$$

Let Φ be the algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e), \overline{\delta}(a) = \Phi\big(\delta_e(\Phi^{-1}(a))\big), a \in \Phi(eC(Q)) = C(Q_e)$, where Q_e is the Stone space of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$. It is clear that $\overline{\delta}$ is a non-zero derivation from $C(Q_e)$ into $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e), s(\overline{\delta}) = e$ and $\overline{\delta}(C(Q_e)) \subset \Phi(eY)$. Since $\Phi(eY)$ is a solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$, by Theorem 3.7 we have that $\Phi(eY) = L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Hence, $eY = eL^0(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. Y is a e-extended solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.

Moreover, by Theorem 4.3, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not σ-distributive.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. According to Theorem 4.3, there exists a non-zero band preserving derivation δ_1 from $\Phi(eX)$ with values in $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e) = \Phi(eL^0(\mathcal{B})) = \Phi(eY)$, where Φ is the algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ onto $L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)$.

Define the linear mapping $\delta\colon X \to L^0(\mathcal{B})$ by setting $\delta(x) = \Phi^{-1}\big(\delta_1(\Phi(ex))\big)$, $x \in X$. It is clear that δ is a non-zero derivation from X into $\Phi^{-1}(L^0(\mathcal{B}_e)) = eY \subset Y$ (the last inclusion follows from Proposition 2.5 (i)). Since $s(\delta) \leqslant e \leqslant s(X)$, we have that δ is a band preserving derivation (see Theorem 3.9). ☐

Now, let us show that any band preserving derivation from an ideal $X \subset C(Q)$ with values in a quasi-normed solid space $Y \subset L^0(\mathcal{B})$ is always trivial.

Proposition 5.2. *If $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is a quasi-normed solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, $0 \neq e \in \mathcal{B}$ and the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not finite, then Y is not an e-extended solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. Suppose that the quasi-normed solid space Y is an e-extended solid space in $L_0(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. $eY = eL^0(\mathcal{B})$. Since the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not finite, there exists a countable partition $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the idempotent e, such that $e_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ select $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_n\|e_n\|_Y > n$. After that, select $y \in eL^0(\mathcal{B}) = eY \subset Y$, such that $e_n y = m_n e_n$. We have

$$n < m_n\|e_n\|_Y = \|m_n e_n\|_Y = \|e_n y\|_Y \leqslant \|y\|_Y$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is impossible.

Consequently, Y is not an e-extended solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. ☐

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let X be an ideal in $C(Q)$ and let Y be a quasi-normed solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Then any band preserving derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$ is trivial.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a non-zero band preserving derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$. According to Theorem 5.1, there exists a non-zero idempotent $e \leqslant s(X)s(Y)$, such that Y is an e-extended solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ and the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not σ-distributive. Hence, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not finite and, by Proposition 5.2, Y is not an e-extended solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$. From the obtained contradiction it follows that any band preserving derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$ is trivial. ☐

Let us give one useful corollary of Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an arbitrary complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$ and let X, Y be ideals in $C(Q)$. Then any band preserving derivation δ from X into Y is trivial.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a non-zero band preserving derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$. By Theorem 5.1 there exists a non-zero idempotent $e \leqslant s(X)s(Y)$, such that $eL^0(\mathcal{B}) = eY \subset eC(Q) \subset eL^0(\mathcal{B})$ and the Boolean algebra $e\mathcal{B}$ is not σ-distributive. Consequently, $eC(Q) = eL^0(\mathcal{B})$, that implies the finiteness of the Boolean algebra $e\mathcal{B}$, in particular, the Boolean algebra $e\mathcal{B}$ is σ-distributive, that is not true. ☐

Example 5.1. Firstly, let us give an example of order-dense solid space Y in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, which does not coincide with $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, such that there exist a non-zero band preserving derivation from $C(Q)$ into Y.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a properly non σ-distributive Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$. Select an infinite partition $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of unity of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with $e_i \neq 0, i \in I$, and denote by Y the set of all $y \in L^0(\mathcal{B})$, such that $e_i y = 0$ for all $i \in I$, possibly, except a finite subset $I(y) \subset I$.

It is clear that Y is a order-dense solid space in $L^0(\mathcal{B})$, in addition, $\mathbf{1} \notin Y$, i.e. $Y \neq L^0(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, $e_i Y = e_i L^0(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. Y is an e_i-extended order-dense solid space for all $i \in I$. Since the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is properly non σ-distributive, it follows that the Boolean algebra $e_i\mathcal{B}$ is not σ-distributive for all $i \in I$. From Theorem 5.1 and the equalities $s(Y) = \mathbf{1} = s(C(Q))$, it follows that there exists a non-zero band preserving derivation from $C(Q)$ into Y. □

Example 5.2. Now, give an example of a quasi-normed solid space $Y \subset C(Q)$ and an ideal $X \subset C(Q)$ with $s(X) \neq \mathbf{1}$, such that there exists a non-zero derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$ with the property $s(\delta)s(X) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = 2^\Delta$ be a complete atomic Boolean algebra with the countable set of atoms $\Delta = \{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, let Q be the Stone of $\mathcal{B}$. In this case, the algebra $C(Q)$ is identified with the algebra l_∞ of all bounded sequences of real numbers and the algebra $L^0(\mathcal{B})$ coincides with the algebra l_0 of all sequences from $\mathbb{R}$.

Select an element $x_0 = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\infty$, such that $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{k}$ for $n = 2k$ and $\alpha_k = 0$ for $n = 2k-1, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the principal ideal $X = x_0 l_\infty$ in l_∞, such that $s(X) = e = \sup\limits_{k\geq 1} q_{2k}$. If $e \in X$, then $e = x_0 y$ for some $y = \{y_n\} \in l_\infty$, in particular, $1 = \frac{1}{k} y_{2k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $y_{2k} = k \to \infty$, that contradicts to the inclusion $y \in l_\infty$. Consequently, $e \notin X$.

It is clear that $X^2 = \{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i b_i : a_i, b_i \in X, i = \overline{1,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an ideal in l_∞ and $X^2 \subset X$. If $x_0 \in X^2$, then $x_0 = x_0^2 \sum\limits_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$ for some $u_i, v_i \in l_\infty, i = \overline{1,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $e = x_0 \sum\limits_{i=1}^n u_i v_i \in X$, that is not true. Hence, $\mathbb{R}x_0 \cap X^2 = \{0\}$. Using the Zorn lemma, construct the Hamel basis $\mathcal{E}$ in X, containing x_0 and the Hamel basis in X^2. Then $X = \mathbb{R}x_0 \oplus E$, where E is a linear subspace in X, generated by the set $\mathcal{E} \setminus \{x_0\}$, in particular, $X^2 \subset E$.

Consider a solid space $Y = (\mathbf{1} - e)l_\infty$ in l_∞ with the monotone Banach norm $\|y\|_Y = \|y\|_\infty, y \in Y$. Define a linear mapping $\delta\colon X \to Y$ by setting $\delta(\alpha x_0 + z) = \alpha(\mathbf{1} - e)$ for all $\alpha x_0 + z \in \mathbb{R}x_0 \oplus E = X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, z \in E$. If $a = \alpha x_0 + y, b = \beta x_0 + z \in X, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, y, z \in E$, then $ab \in X^2 \subset E$, $y, z \in X = eX$, and therefore $\delta(ab) = 0, \delta(a)b = \alpha(\mathbf{1} - e)eb = 0$, $a\delta(b) = ae\beta(\mathbf{1} - e) = 0$. Consequently, $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$, i.e. δ is a non-zero derivation from X into the Banach solid space $Y \subset l_\infty$, for which

$s(\delta)s(X) = 0$. By Theorem 3.9 the constructed derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$ is not band preserving.

Now, consider the complex case. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be an ideal in the algebra $\mathbb{C}(Q)$ and let $(\mathbb{Y}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{Y}})$ be a solid space in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. Since any derivation $\delta\colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ may be represented as $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{Re}} + i\delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$, where $\delta_{\mathbb{Re}}, \delta_{\mathbb{Im}}$ are $*$-derivations from the solid space $\mathbb{X}$ into $\mathbb{Y}$, Theorems 5.1, 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 imply the following

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an arbitrary complete Boolean algebra, let Q be the Stone space of $\mathcal{B}$, let $\mathbb{X}$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{C}(Q)$, and let $\mathbb{Y}$ be a solid space in $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$. Then*

(i). There exists a non-zero band preserving derivation $\delta\colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ if and only if there exists a non-zero idempotent $e \leqslant s(\mathbb{X})s(\mathbb{Y})$, such that $e\mathbb{Y} = eL^0_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B})$ and the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ is not σ-distributive;

(ii). If $(\mathbb{Y}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is a quasi-normed solid space, or $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{C}(Q)$, then any band preserving derivation $\delta\colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is trivial.

Now, give a version of Corollary 5.5 for commutative AW^*-algebras. An AW^*-algebra is a C^*-algebra which is simultaneously a Baer $*$-algebra ([11], 7.5.2). If $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative AW^*-algebra, then the lattice $P(\mathcal{A}) = \{p \in \mathcal{A} : p = p^* = p^2\}$ of all projections from $\mathcal{A}$ is a complete Boolean algebra [6], in particular, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra, then the Boolean algebra $P(\mathcal{A})$ is multinormed. A commutative AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is $*$-isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathbb{C}(Q(P(\mathcal{A})))$, where $Q(P(\mathcal{A}))$ is the Stone space of the Boolean algebra $P(\mathcal{A})$ ([11], 7.4.3, 7.5.2). Denote by $S(\mathcal{A})$ the $*$-algebra of all measurable operators affiliated with AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (see e.g. [5], [6]). It is known [5], that for a commutative AW^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the $*$-algebra $S(\mathcal{A})$ is $*$-isomorphic to the $*$-algebra $L^0_{\mathbb{C}}(P(\mathcal{A}))$. Therefore, Theorem 4.3, Corollaries 2.3 and 5.5 imply the following

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative AW^*-algebra (respectively, commutative von Neumann algebra), let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, and let $\mathbb{Y}$ be a solid space $S(\mathcal{A})$. Then*

(i). The Boolean algebra $P(s(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{A})$ of all projections from $s(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{A}$ is σ-distributive (respectively, atomic) if and only if there no non-zero band preserving derivations from $\mathcal{I}$ into $S(\mathcal{A})$;

(ii). There exist a non-zero band preserving derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{Y}$ if and only if there exist a non-zero projection $p \leqslant s(\mathcal{I})s(\mathbb{Y})$, such that $p\mathbb{Y} = pS(\mathcal{A})$ and the Boolean algebra $P(p\mathcal{A})$ is not σ-distributive;

(iii). If $(\mathbb{Y}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{Y}})$ is a quasi-normed solid space in $S(\mathcal{A})$, or $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then any band preserving derivation δ from $\mathcal{I}$ into $\mathbb{Y}$ is trivial.

Give one more illustration of Theorem 5.3. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measurable space with a complete σ-finite measure μ, let $L^0(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all measurable real-valued functions defined on (Ω, Σ, μ), and let $L^\infty(\Omega)$ be the subalgebra of all essentially bounded functions from $L^0(\Omega)$ (functions that are equal almost everywhere are identified). Denote by t_μ the topology of convergence locally in measure μ in $L^0(\Omega)$. Convergence $f_n \xrightarrow{t_\mu} f, f_n, f \in L^0(\Omega)$ means that $f_n\chi_A \to f\chi_A$ in measure μ for any $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$. Proposition 3.3 and density of the subalgebra of step functions in the algebra $L^0(\Omega)$ with respect to the topology t_μ imply that any t_μ-continuous derivation $\delta\colon L^0(\Omega) \to L^0(\Omega)$ is trivial.

Consider an arbitrary non-zero ideal X in the algebra $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and normed solid space $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ of measurable functions on (Ω, Σ, μ) (see e.g. [8], ch.IV, §3). The examples of such solid spaces are L_p-spaces, $p \geqslant 1$, the Orlicz, Marcinkiewicz spaces, symmetric spaces of measurable functions on (Ω, Σ, μ) [9]. Theorems 4.3 and 5.3 imply the following

Theorem 5.7 (compare [4])**.** *(i). Any band preserving derivation δ from X into Y is trivial, in particular, if X is a order-dense solid space, then any derivation $\delta\colon X \to Y$ is trivial;*

(ii). If (Ω, Σ, μ) is continuous measure space, then there exist a non-zero band preserving derivation from X into $L^0(\Omega)$, which is not continuous with respect to the topology t_μ;

(iii). If (Ω, Σ, μ) is an atomic measure space, then any band preserving derivation from δ from X into $L^0(\Omega)$ is trivial.

Note again, the condition that a derivation δ is band preserving is necessary for validity of Theorem 5.7 (i), (iii). Recall that in Example 5.2 it is constructed a non-zero derivation δ from an ideal $X \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ with values in a Banach solid space $Y \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ for an atomic measure space (Ω, Σ, μ), such that $s(\delta)s(X) = 0$ (the last equality means that the derivation δ is not band preserving (see Theorem 3.9)).

References

[1] S.Albeverio, Sh.Ayupov and K.Kudaibergenov, *Derivations on the algebra of measurable operators affiliated with type I von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal, **256** (2009), No 9, 2917-2943.

[2] A.F.Ber, V.I.Chilin, F.A.Sukochev, *Derivations in commutative regular algebras.* Math. Notes. **75** (2004), No 3, 453–457.

[3] A.F.Ber, V.I.Chilin, F.A.Sukochev, *Non-trivial derivations on commutative regular algebras.* Extracta Math. **21** (2006), 107-147.

[4] A.F.Ber, F.A.Sukochev, *Derivations in the Banach ideals of τ-compact operators.* (to appear) (see: arXiv:1204.4052 v1. [math. OA]. 18 Apr. 2012. 12 p.)

[5] S.K.Berberian, *Baer *-rings.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin. 1972.

[6] V.I.Chilin, *Partially ordered baer involutive algebras.* Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **37**, (1987), No 6, 1449-1472.

[7] Gutman A.E., Kusraev A.G., Kutateladze S.S. *The Wickstead problem.* Siberian Electronic Math. Reports. (2008). V.5, 293–333.

[8] L.V.Kantorovich, G.P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.

[9] S.G.Krein, Y.I.Petunin, E.M.Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators.* Trans. Math. Monogr. **54.** American Mathematical Society. Providence. R.I. 1982.

[10] A.G.Kusraev, *Automorphisms and derivations in an extended complex f-algebra,* Siberian Math. J. **47** (2006), No 1, 77–85.
[11] A.G.Kusraev, *Dominated Operators*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
[12] A.G.Kusraev, *Vector Duality and Its Applications*, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1985 (Russian).
[13] D.Olesen, *Derivations of AW^*-algebras are inner*, Pacific J. Math., **53** (1974), No 1, 555–561.
[14] S.Sakai, *C*-Algebras and W*-Algebras.* Springer-Verlag. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin. 1971.
[15] I.E.Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), No 1, 401–457.
[16] D.A.Vladimirov, *Boolean algebras*, Nauka, Moscow, 1969 (Russian).

Department of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Vuzgorodok, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: `chilin@ucd.uz, vladimirchil@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Vuzgorodok, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: `bob_galina@mail.ru`